Memory effects in the ion conductor Rb₂Ti₂O₅

Rémi Federicci, Stéphane Holé, Vincent Démery, and Brigitte Leridon

Citation: Journal of Applied Physics 124, 152104 (2018); doi: 10.1063/1.5036841

View online: https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5036841

View Table of Contents: http://aip.scitation.org/toc/jap/124/15

Published by the American Institute of Physics





Memory effects in the ion conductor Rb₂Ti₂O₅

Rémi Federicci, ¹ Stéphane Holé, ¹ Vincent Démery, ^{2,3} and Brigitte Leridon ¹ LPEM, ESPCI Paris, PSL University, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, 75005 Paris, France ² Gulliver, ESPCI Paris, PSL University, CNRS, 10 rue Vauquelin, 75005 Paris, France ³ Univ Lyon, ENS de Lyon, Univ Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS, Laboratoire de Physique, F-69342 Lyon,

(Received 19 April 2018; accepted 19 June 2018; published online 25 September 2018)

Recent studies on $Rb_2Ti_2O_5$ crystals have demonstrated remarkable electrical properties. This material exhibits colossal electrical polarization between 200 K and 330 K. In the present work, we report on the observation of memory effects in $Rb_2Ti_2O_5$ due to charge accumulation and discuss the genuine memristive character of this material. An analytical model is proposed for the system, which takes into account the ionic diffusion and ionic migration and is in good agreement with the observed volatile memristive properties of the material. *Published by AIP Publishing*. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5036841

I. INTRODUCTION

Memristive systems are ubiquitous in nature, from the simple tungsten filament¹ to the complex synaptic junction in neuronal systems.² Predicted by Chua in 1971³ and claimed to be discovered at the nanoscale in 2008 by Strukov and co-workers,4 the memristor is the electronic component whose state equation is expressed by a linear dependence between the charge q and the flux $\Phi = \int V(t)dt$. The consequence is that V = R(q)I, where R (the memristance) depends on the total charge q received by the device. Therefore, the history of the currents and voltages experienced by the material impacts its current internal state, giving rise to memory effects. 5,6 In recent years, research about memristors and more generally about memristive, memcapacitive, and meminductive systems—where, respectively, C or L are a function of charge or flux—has become a topic of huge interest, especially in regards to neuromorphic implementation^{7–11} and data storage (ReRAM).^{5,12,13}

Recent studies have shown remarkable electrical transport properties in Rb₂Ti₂O₅ (RTO) crystals or ceramics. ¹⁴ An ionic conductivity up to 10⁻³ S cm⁻¹ between 200 K and 330 K was found, making the RTO a superionic conductor in this temperature range. Ionic transport in this highly electronically-insulating material creates accumulation of ions at the edges of the system, giving rise to an unscreened macro-dipole with a polarization larger than 0.1 C cm⁻² and a colossal equivalent relative permittivity of about 10⁸ at low frequency. ¹⁴ The possibility of a conventional ferroelectric phase associated with a structural phase transition was completely discarded by Raman spectroscopy and XRD measurements. Indeed, RTO structure was found to remain consistent with the centrosymmetric C2/m space group between 10 K and 450 K. ¹⁵

In this article, we demonstrate intrinsic memory effects in bulk RTO at room temperature. We also discuss the intrinsic memristor character of the material and the volatile nature of the memory effects. In addition, a simple analytical model based on the electrolytic nature of the material is presented. The I-V curves calculated from the model are in very good agreement with the experimental measurements and reproduce the observed non-transverse memristive behavior, further confirming the ionic mobility origin of these effects.

II. SAMPLE PREPARATION AND EXPERIMENTAL SET-UP

The RTO crystal synthesis was performed by melting TiO_2 and MNO_3 powders in a Pt crucible 14 and characterization was performed as described in previous work. The crystals, which size is typically $1~\text{mm} \times 300~\mu\text{m} \times 300~\mu\text{m}$, were then extracted from the synthesis batch and silver wires were glued with carbon paste at both ends of the elongated platelet-type crystals. The entire system was then annealed at 400 K under room atmosphere to polymerize the carbon paste. Once connected, in order to activate the electrical transport properties, 14 the crystals were annealed under vacuum at 400 K for two hours.

Due to the very high impedance of our samples, we used a two-contact geometry. Voltage biased I-V curves were acquired using a Lecroy LT224 oscilloscope for measuring the voltage drop across a resistance of $200\,\mathrm{k}\Omega$ in series with the sample, and a Stanford SI1200 Lock-In was used as voltage source. For triangular voltage measurements and current relaxations a Keithley 6517b electrometer was used to measure the current while applying a voltage. All the transport measurements presented in the present article were performed under room pressure and room temperature conditions.

III. ELECTRICAL MEASUREMENTS

A. Memristive behavior

Voltage biased I-V curves were acquired for different frequencies ranging from 1 mHz to 500 mHz. Typical results are displayed in Fig. 1. The results show non-linear non-transverse—the two branches do not cross at the origin—hysteretical loops that are pinched in zero. The area of the lobes increases with frequency from 1 mHz to 10 mHz and decreases above 10 mHz. When the frequency of the applied voltage reaches




FIG. 1. I-V curves for $Rb_2Ti_2O_5$ single crystal (sample A) under room conditions for frequencies ranging from 1 mHz to 500 mHz. The sample is a single crystal which dimensions are $1000\,\mu\text{m} \times 300\,\mu\text{m} \times 300\,\mu\text{m}$. This crystal demonstrates genuine memristive behavior, with an almost ideal "memristor" character according to the criteria defined by Adhikari *et al.*¹⁶ (see text).

500 mHz, the I-V curve shows an ohmic behavior with a single-valued conductivity of about $10^{-4} \text{ S cm}^{-1}$.

For a system to be qualified as an ideal memristor, three conditions must be met. 16 The first is that the I-V curve displays a pinched (either transverse or non-transverse) hysteretical loop, *i.e.*, I=0 when V=0. The second condition is that the lobe area enclosed by the I-V curve decreases with increasing frequency. And the third condition is that at infinite frequency, the I-V curve should be single-valued. The first criterion is met since to a first approximation the loops are pinched in zero. We discuss later some experimental cases when the loops are not exactly pinched in zero and when the I-V lobes are not exactly restricted to the first and third quadrants. The second criterion is not exactly fulfilled since the area of the butterfly lobes monotonously decreases with frequency only at high frequencies. The third criterion for ideal memristor is fulfilled since an ohmic regime is observed at high frequency.

These findings demonstrate that a properly annealed crystal of RTO connected to conducting wires is a genuine memristive device, close to an ideal memristor, at low frequencies and at room temperature.

B. Memory-volatile character

As a matter of fact, as can be seen from the arrows drawn in Fig. 1, the I-V curves are of a non-transverse memristor, which is, for instance, different from all known examples of resistance switching memory devices based on ion conductors. ¹² Consequently, it was observed in some of the samples, either single crystals or polycrystals, that the loop was slightly opened (not fully pinched)—which violates the first criterion. In this case, when the bias voltage goes from positive to zero, a negative current is created at zero voltage, releasing charge into the outer circuit. We refer to this current as the "relaxation current." The necessary conditions to produce this cycle opening and the relaxation current (which varies from sample to sample) are not fully understood, but seem to be related to




FIG. 2. I-V curves acquired at 1.2 mHz on Rb₂Ti₂O₅ (sample B) under room atmosphere. The sample is a polycrystal whose dimensions are 1500 μ m \times 700 μ m \times 800 μ m. There are eight I-V cycles that appear superimposed, demonstrating the excellent reproducibility of the measurements.

the annealing conditions, the presence of defects in the sample and possibly the nature of the electrodes. In this case, the device can be modeled by adding a memcapacitive component to the memristive one, and the system then partially behaves like a supercapacitor.

Figure 2 displays a set of eight I-V curves measured on the polycrystalline sample B where the relaxation currents can be observed. The frequency of the applied field is about 1.2 mHz. The perfect coincidence between these eight curves demonstrates the reproducibility of the measurements. The inset in Fig. 2 shows a close-up around the origin in order to outline the relaxation current.

In order to better evidence the memristive properties of RTO, a triangular voltage signal was used to study the evolution of the internal state of the material as a function of time. The measured current (red) and the applied voltage (blue) are displayed as a function of time in Fig. 3. A series of 5 negative cycles between -5 V and 0 V was performed, followed by a series of 5 positive cycles between 0 V and 5 V. These alternatively negative and positive cycles show how the conducting properties of RTO are impacted by the voltage history.

First, during the series of negative cycles, the peak current amplitude decreases regularly in absolute value between the first and the last cycle, and the peak current for the last cycle is observed to be almost 10 times smaller than that for the first one. Once the series of positive cycles starts, the conductivity of the material is suddenly increased, being almost five times higher than during the previous last negative cycle. During this series of positive cycles, the conductivity is also observed to decrease in a similar way as for the series of negative cycles.

After the set of alternatively negative and positive cycles, the applied voltage was reduced to zero during a time Δt . After this elapse time, a series of 5 positive cycles was performed again to measure the internal conducting state of the RTO. The first cycle of the series exhibits the highest conductivity which is used to estimate the internal ionic conductivity. The process was performed with different elapse times and the current intensity of the first positive cycle was observed to increase




FIG. 3. Evolution of the current measured on a Rb₂Ti₂O₅ sample at room conditions as function of applied voltage. The blue curve is for the triangular applied voltage and the red curve is the measured current. The crystal dimensions are $3500 \, \mu \text{m} \times 400 \, \mu \text{m} \times 1500 \, \mu \text{m}$. The current at the peak is found to decrease with successive voltage pulses of the same polarity. When applying a voltage pulse of opposite polarity, or after sufficient time-delay, the current pulse is enhanced. This illustrates the memristive properties that are evocative of inhibitory stimulation followed by excitatory stimulation.

with increasing elapse time. This measurement shows that the conductivity of the RTO decreases with increasing flux and that a slow leaky process exists when the applied voltage is zero which tends to restore the conductivity, attesting to the volatile nature of the memory effects at room temperature in this material. The fact that successive voltage pulses of the same polarity yield a decreasing current intensity, while a pulse of opposite polarity restores the maximal value of the current intensity is evocative of inhibitory stimulation, followed by excitatory stimulation.

C. Origin of the memory effects

Memory effects in devices comporting ion conductors are largely documented. Both unipolar and bipolar I-V characteristics are reported, depending on the microscopic nature of the underlying mechanisms. 12 Memory effects are also largely studied in perovskite-based devices.1

A very commonly reported mechanism is the creation of microscopic conduction channels through the application of a high voltage, which provokes a local breakdown of the insulator. 17,18 After application of a threshold voltage, these channels are destroyed because of local Joule heating. This gives a very typical I-V curve which depends on the compliance current, with either a bipolar or a unipolar switching type. In any case, the I-V curve is always transverse, *i.e.*, the two branches cross around the origin. This is not what is observed in our system.

A second possible mechanism relies on redox processes associated with cation electromigration. In this case, the process involves the oxidation of a metallic electrode such as Ag, the migration of the formed cations such as, e.g., silver ions Ag⁺ through the ion conductor and the deposition at an inert electrode whose role is simply to provide electrons. This process, which creates silver dendrites, is reversible and leads to switching behavior, which was first reported in 1976 by Hirose. 19 In our case, the nature of the wires (Ag or Au) was varied without affecting the results. Gold contacts were evaporated on the crystals and used to perform the same measurements, leading to identical I-V curves so the role of Ag⁺ ions can be excluded.

A third reported mechanism is electrochemical migration of oxygen ions. Such a mechanism was observed, for example, in SrTiO₃ (STO) thin films.²⁰ It is very tempting indeed to consider such a mechanism in the present system, since we know that ionic motion is present, and the preparation process (annealing under oxygen-poor atmosphere and application of large voltage) reported for STO is very similar to the one applied on RTO. However, again, the I-V curves reported in STO systems²⁰⁻²² are transverse in contradiction to the present observation. The direction of variation of the cycle in the first quadrant is opposite to the one reported in Fig. 1.

As a matter of fact, a notable difference between the two systems is present: in the above-reported mechanism, the mobile oxygen ions experience charge transfer through redox reaction at the anode interface. This means that the following reaction takes place,

$$O^{2-} \to 2e^- + \frac{1}{2}O_2,$$
 (1)

and a production of oxygen gas is observed at the anode. In this case, ions are not accumulated and there is no building up of charge at the interface. This is in contradiction to what is observed in the present system where ions remain accumulated, a giant polarization (of the order of $0.1 \,\mathrm{C\,cm^{-2}}$) is created, ¹⁴ and where no particular gas production is observed at the anode. Moreover, the phenomenon is also observed under helium atmosphere, which discards a possible role played by oxygen gas production and absorption.

In order to explain the observed I-V curve, we therefore developed a simple model taking into account the ion mobility, ion accumulation, and supposing a total absence of charge transfer at the interface. This means that we assumed that redox reaction is completely absent at the interface where the ions accumulate and that for some reason remaining to be elucidated if the mobile ions are oxygen ions O^{2-} , which is very likely, they cannot escape the material and transform into oxygen gas.

IV. IONIC ELECTROMIGRATION MODEL

A. Model

In order to better understand the microscopic mechanisms at play in this material, we compare the experimental results with a simple model of ionic migration. First, we give the general relations satisfied by this kind of system and then make an additional hypothesis to arrive at a system of equations that can be integrated numerically.

We assume that all the quantities only depend on the coordinate $x \in [0, L]$, where L is the length of the sample, i.e., the distance between the two electrodes and that all the fields are oriented along this direction. The Maxwell-Gauss equation thus reads

$$\partial_x E(x, t) = \frac{\rho(x, t)}{\varepsilon},$$
 (2)

where *E* is the electric field and ρ the charge density, and we have adopted the notation $\partial_x A = \frac{\partial A}{\partial x}$ that we will use in the following for space (∂_x) and time (∂_t) derivatives. The evolution of the charge density is given by the current *j*:

$$\partial_t \rho(x, t) = -\partial_x j(x, t),$$
 (3)

which expresses charge conservation.

The electric field at the boundary x = L is related to the surface charge density $\sigma(t)$ of the right electrode through

$$E(L, t) = -\frac{\sigma(t)}{\varepsilon}. (4)$$

The potential difference between the electrodes can be written as

$$V(t) = -\int_{0}^{L} E(x, t)dx = -[xE(x, t)]_{0}^{L} + \int_{0}^{L} x \partial_{x} E(x, t)dx$$
$$= \frac{L\sigma(t)}{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{0}^{L} x \rho(x, t)dx, \tag{5}$$

where we have integrated by parts and used (2) and (4). This equation shows how imposing V(t) sets the charge on the electrodes, given the distribution of charges. Moreover, assuming that there are no charges entering or leaving the sample at the electrodes,

$$j(0, t) = j(L, t) = 0,$$
 (6)

we can derive the previous relation and get for the current

$$I(t) = A\frac{d\sigma}{dt}(t) = \frac{A\varepsilon}{L}\frac{dV}{dt}(t) - \frac{A}{L}\int_{0}^{L} j(x, t)dx,$$
 (7)

where A is the area of the electrodes or equivalently the area of the section of the sample. The current thus consists of two terms [Eq. (7)]: the capacitive current $I_{\text{cap}}(t)$ and the ionic current $I_{\text{jon}}(t)$.

We now make further assumptions to describe ionic migration in the sample. We assume that there is a single species of mobile ions, carrying a charge q, and we denote its number density n(x, t). We further assume that the material is neutral when the mobile ions are uniformly distributed

in the material, meaning that there is a uniform background of non-mobile charges, with number density \bar{n} . The charge density thus reads

$$\rho(x,t) = q[n(x,t) - \bar{n}]. \tag{8}$$

We make the hypothesis that the mobile ions move according to the Nernst-Planck equation

$$\partial_t n(x, t) = -\partial_x j_n(x, t), \tag{9}$$

$$j_n(x,t) = -D\partial_x n(x,t) + \mu E(x,t) n(x,t), \tag{10}$$

where μ is the mobility of the mobile ions and D is their thermal diffusion coefficient; they are related through the Einstein relation $D = k_B T q^{-1} \mu$. The ionic flux is related to the current through $j(x, t) = qj_n(x, t)$.

Finally, in order to introduce the characteristic amplitude V_0 and frequency ω of the driving, we write it as

$$V(t) = V_0 f(\omega t), \tag{11}$$

where f(u) is a 2π -periodic function with absolute maximum 1.

B. Dimensionless parameters and equations

The model contains many parameters; in order to understand its behavior, we introduce the following dimensionless quantities

$$\tilde{x} = \frac{x}{L},\tag{12}$$

$$\tilde{t} = \frac{\mu q \bar{n}}{\varepsilon} t,\tag{13}$$

$$\tilde{n} = \frac{n}{\bar{n}},\tag{14}$$

$$\tilde{E} = \frac{\varepsilon}{a\bar{n}L}E,\tag{15}$$

$$\tilde{\sigma} = \frac{\sigma}{q\bar{n}L},\tag{16}$$

$$\tilde{I} = \frac{\varepsilon I}{\mu q^2 \bar{n}^2 LA}.$$
(17)

These quantities allow us to rewrite the equations as

$$\partial_{\tilde{t}}\tilde{n}(\tilde{x},\tilde{t}) = -\partial_{\tilde{x}}\tilde{j}_{n}(\tilde{x},\tilde{t}),\tag{18}$$

$$\tilde{j}_{p}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t}) = \tilde{E}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t})\tilde{n}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t}) - \tilde{T}\partial_{\tilde{x}}\tilde{n}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t}), \tag{19}$$

$$\partial_{\tilde{x}}\tilde{E}(\tilde{x},\,\tilde{t}) = \tilde{n}(\tilde{x},\,\tilde{t}) - 1,\tag{20}$$

$$\tilde{E}(0,\tilde{t}) = \tilde{E}(1,\tilde{t}) = -\tilde{\sigma}(\tilde{t}),$$
 (21)

$$\tilde{I}(\tilde{t}) = \frac{d\tilde{V}}{d\tilde{t}}(\tilde{t}) - \int_{0}^{1} \tilde{j}_{n}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t})d\tilde{x}, \tag{23}$$

$$\tilde{V}(\tilde{t}) = \tilde{V}_0 f(\tilde{\omega}\tilde{t}). \tag{24}$$

These equations depend only on three dimensionless parameters, which determine the behavior of the system

$$\tilde{V}_0 = \frac{\varepsilon V_0}{q\bar{n}L^2},\tag{25}$$

$$\tilde{T} = \frac{\varepsilon k_B T}{q^2 \bar{n} L^2},\tag{26}$$

$$\tilde{\omega} = \frac{\varepsilon \omega}{\mu q \bar{n}}.\tag{27}$$

Since the parameters \bar{n} , μ , and ε are not known, the dimensionless parameters cannot be computed directly. However, the ratio \tilde{T}/\tilde{V}_0 can be estimated for the experiment corresponding to Fig. 1 at room temperature (300 K), assuming that the mobile ions are oxygen ions carrying two elementary charges and a 10 V voltage drop:

$$\frac{\tilde{T}}{\tilde{V}_0} = \frac{k_B T}{q V_0} \simeq 10^{-3}$$
. (28)

Furthermore, the ohmic behavior observed at high frequency in Fig. 1 can be exploited. The order of magnitude of the capacitive current in Eq. (7) is

$$I_{\rm cap} \sim \frac{A\varepsilon\omega V_0}{L}$$
 (29)

and the ionic current may be estimated by assuming that the charge displacement is small so that the effect on the electric field is weak, hence

$$I_{\rm ion} \sim \frac{A\mu q \bar{n} V_0}{L}.$$
 (30)

In the experiment corresponding to Fig. 1, a triangular signal is imposed so that the capacitive current, which is proportional to the derivative of the imposed voltage, should be constant. This constant is not observed, meaning that the capacitive current is much smaller than the ionic current, $I_{\rm cap} \ll I_{\rm ion}$. Hence, the measured current is the ionic current, which allows one to estimate the ionic conductivity

$$\mu q \bar{n} = \frac{LI_{\text{ion}}}{AV_0} \simeq 10^{-4} \,\text{S cm}^{-1}.$$
 (31)

We can check the consistency of our relation by evaluating the ratio of the currents at the highest frequency, which corresponds to the dimensionless frequency:

$$\tilde{\omega} = \frac{I_{\text{cap}}}{I_{\text{ion}}} = \frac{\varepsilon \omega}{q \mu \bar{n}} \simeq 5 \, 10^{-10} \varepsilon_r,\tag{32}$$

where ε_r is the relative permittivity of the medium. Note that

this permittivity is evaluated without the effect of the mobile charges and should thus be of order 1 so that the parameter $\tilde{\omega}$ should be very small.

C. Numerical integration

We now turn to the numerical integration of the dimensionless equations (18)–(24). We define the value of the density on a lattice and use a finite differences scheme that conserves the number of ions. The ionic current $\tilde{I}_{\rm ion}$ is plotted as a function of the voltage drop \tilde{V} for a triangular signal with $\tilde{V}_0=100$, $\tilde{T}=0.1$, and different frequencies in Fig. 4. We note the close resemblance with Figs. 1 and 2. The simulations reproduce several features of the experimental findings, such as the non-monotonous variation of the cycle area with frequency and the existence of relaxation currents.

In order to get insight into the physical mechanism responsible for the current drop during the cycles, we plot the charge distribution at different points of the cycle for $\tilde{\omega} = 50$ in Fig. 5. We observe that during a cycle, the mobile charges move as a whole from the left electrode to the right one and spread slightly before hitting the right electrode. The current drop indeed occurs when the charges meet the right boundary of the system. At high voltages, because of the diffusion force coming from the ionic concentration gradient, the ions motion is getting slower and slower. This regime is nothing but the appearance of the Warburg diffusion, ^{23,24} caused by the ionic accumulation and already observed in the low-frequency behavior of the dielectric constant.¹⁴ Before the mobile ions begin to feel the edges of the sample, they are in a free motion regime with a conductivity of $10^{-4} \, \mathrm{S \, cm^{-1}}$ related to the ionic conductivity.¹⁴

D. Discussion of the model

Despite the similarities between the experimental and simulated I-V curves, important discrepancies remain between the model and the experimental results. First, the value of the dimensionless parameter $\tilde{\omega}$ used in the model,




FIG. 4. Ionic current versus voltage drop in the Nernst-Planck-Poisson model Eqs. (18)–(24) with a triangular driving for $\tilde{V}_0=100$, $\tilde{T}=0.1$, and different values of $\tilde{\omega}$ given in the legend. The circles indicate the positions where the charge distribution is plotted in Fig. 5 for $\tilde{\omega}=50$.




FIG. 5. Mobile charge distribution in the sample for $\tilde{V}_0=100$, $\tilde{T}=0.1$, and $\tilde{\omega}=50$ along the cycle. The values of \tilde{V} are indicated in the legend and correspond to the circles in Fig. 4.

 $10 \le \tilde{\omega} \le 300$, is incompatible with the experimental estimation for a reasonable value of the relative permittivity of the medium, Eq. (32). Second, we plot only the ionic current in the model and discard the capacitive current. Yet, for the values of the dimensionless parameters used, the capacitive current is much larger than the ionic current. A possible explanation could be a bad choice of dimensionless parameters, but we could not recover the experimental behavior for other values of the parameters. A key parameter is V_0 , which compares the voltage drop to the mobile charges available in the system. The current drop at low frequency is observed only when $V_0 \gg 1$, when the voltage drop is able to drive all the mobile ions to a boundary of the system. We conclude that this model contains the basic ingredients to explain the experimental behavior, but misses some important physical mechanism. Identifying such a mechanism remains an open question and will certainly provide further insight into the nature of ionic migration in such a system.

The fact that the system is found to not strictly obey the first criterion for memristors, 16 in the sense that the I-V curves are not strictly pinched in zero, is clearly captured by the model (Fig. 4). Indeed, two mechanisms homogenize the ionic distribution in the absence of electric field: the electrostatic interaction between the ions and the uniform background charge and the thermal diffusion of the individual ions; in the model, the ratio of these two effects is given by \tilde{T} . Due to these effects, the memory of the material has a *volatile character*, making RTO material a good candidate for the applications involving volatile memory components, such as memristive threshold logic.

One may wonder how the memristive character of the present system is related to the previously observed colossal permittivity or to the ferroelectric-like cycles. Actually, all these phenomena stem from the same microscopical feature: the ionic conductivity. However, not all materials with very high permittivity or even with ferroelectric-like cycles will be memristors. This is because what characterizes a memristor is strictly speaking the dependence of the resistance on the charge or on the flux, for, respectively, charge-controlled and flux-controlled memristors and not the I-V curve which is not unique. Tests like the ones performed in Fig. 3 are

typical of a flux-controlled memristor. In the present case, the huge value of the equivalent permittivity and, therefore, of the polarization produces high-density charge storage.

Further work has to be carried out in order to elucidate the conditions of creation of non-volatile (or less volatile) memory effects that are also observed. This involves a large number of parameters such as annealing conditions, pressure and temperature, nature of the electrodes, and quality of the surface contact and will be the object of future studies. In particular, trapping/detrapping mechanisms remain to be investigated. For instance, one may consider using porous electrodes with ionic adsorption or intercalation processes in order to stabilize non-volatile memory.

V. CONCLUSION

In this work, we have demonstrated experimentally and confirmed by simulations that the important ionic electromigration dynamics prevailing in bulk Rb₂Ti₂O₅ at room temperature leads to non-transverse memristive properties. Because of the finite dimensions on which the ionic migration occurs, two important behaviors exist: one regime where the ions are freely migrating and another one where the ions are accumulating at the edges of the system, which yields a hysteretic current drop, giving rise to the memristive properties. Electrostatic interactions and thermal diffusion both contribute to the volatility of the memory effects. A microscopic model based on ionic migration and diffusion of one-type charged species is able to reproduce the observed non-transverse I-V curves but points to a missing physical phenomenon that still remains to be identified.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by French state funds managed by the ANR within the Investissements d'Avenir program under Reference No. ANR-11-IDEX-0004-02 and more specifically within the framework of the Cluster of Excellence MATISSE led by Sorbonne Universités.

```
<sup>1</sup>T. Prodromakis, C. Toumazou, and L. Chua, Nat. Mater. 11, 478 (2012).
```

²Y. V. Pershin and M. DiVentra, Adv. Phys. **60**, 145 (2011).

³L. Chua, IEEE Trans. Circuit Theory **18**, 507 (1971). ISSN 0018-9324.

⁴D. B. Strukov, G. S. Snider, D. R. Stewart, and R. S. Williams, Nat. Lett. 453, 80 (2008).

⁵L. Chua, Appl. Phys. A **102**, 765 (2011). ISSN 1432-0630.

C. Chua and S. M. Kang, Proc. IEEE 64, 209 (1976). ISSN 0018-9219.
 Wang, S. Joshi, S. E. Savel'ev, H. Jiang, R. Midya, P. Lin, M. Hu, N. Ge, J. P. Strachan, Z. Li, Q. Wu, M. Barnell, G.-L. Li, H. L. Xin, R. S. Williams, and Q. X. J. J. Yang, Nat. Mater. 16, 101–108 (2016).

⁸J. J. Yang, D. B. Strukov, and D. R. Stewart, Nat. Nanotechnol. **8**, 13–24 (2013).

⁹S. H. Jo, T. Chang, I. Ebong, B. B. Bhadviya, P. Mazumder, and W. Lu, Nano Lett. **10**, 1297 (2010).

¹⁰E. Covi, S. Brivio, A. Serb, T. Prodromakis, M. Fanciulli, and S. Spiga, Front. Neurosci. 10, 482 (2016). ISSN 1662-453X.

¹¹P. Stoliar, J. Tranchant, B. Corraze, E. Janod, M.-P. Besland, F. Tesler, M. Rozenberg, and L. Cario, Adv. Funct. Mater. 27, 1604740 (2017). ISSN 1616–3028, 1604740.

¹²R. Waser and M. Aono, Nat. Mater. 6, 1 (2007).

¹³A. Sawa, Mater. Today **11**, 28 (2008).

¹⁴R. Federicci, S. Holé, A. F. Popa, L. Brohan, B. Baptiste, S. Mercone, and B. Leridon, Phys. Rev. Mater. 1, 21 (2017).

- ¹⁵R. Federicci, B. Baptiste, F. Finocchi, F. A. Popa, L. Brohan, K. Beneuh, P. Giura, G. Rousse, A. Descamps-Mandine, T. Douillard, A. Shukla, and B. Leridon, Acta Cryst. B73, 1142 (2017).
- ¹⁶S. P. Adhikari, M. P. Sah, H. Kim, and L. O. Chua, IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. I: Regul. Pap. 60, 3008 (2013). ISSN 1549-8328.
- ¹⁷H. Pagnia and N. Sotnik, Phys. Status Solidi **108**, 11 (1988). ¹⁸F. A. Chudnovskii, L. L. Odynets, A. L. Pergament, and G. B. Stefanovich, J. Solid State Chem. 122, 95 (1996).
- ¹⁹Y. Hirose and H. Hirose, J. Appl. Phys. **47**, 2767 (1976).
- ²⁰K. Szot, W. Speier, G. Bihlmayer, and R. Waser, Nat. Mater. **5**, 312 (2006). ²¹A. Beck, J. G. Bednorz, C. Gerber, C. Rossel, and D. Widmer, Appl. Phys. Lett. 77, 139 (2000).
- ²²Y. Watanabe, J. G. Bednorz, A. Bietsch, C. Gerber, D. Widmer, A. Beck, and S. J. Wind, Appl. Phys. Lett. 78, 3738 (2001).
- ²³E. Warburg, Ann. Phys. Chem. **303**, 493 (1899).
- ²⁴E. Warburg, Ann. Phys. **311**, 125 (1901).